

Application No. 10/808,070
Reply to Office action dated July 15, 2005


REMARKS

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-35 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly unpatentable over claim 12 of copending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/812,203. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. Although Applicants disagree with the Examiner's rejection, a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) is submitted herewith to advance the prosecution of the application. The rejection has thus been overcome and should be withdrawn.

Applicant, therefore, believes the application to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested remove the above rejection and pass the application to issuance.


Respectfully submitted,



Eric D. Middlemas
Registration No. 53,325

Date

Eastman Chemical Company
P.O. Box 511
Kingsport, Tennessee 37662
Phone: (423) 229-6427
FAX: (423) 229-1239

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Amendment, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


Cathy L. Adkins


Date